



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

DICKINSON *v.* ARMSTRONG *et al.*

Sept. 23, 1919.

[100 S. E. 813.]

**Elections (§ 51\*)—Electoral Board; Appointment of Election Officials.**—Under Code 1904, § 117, it is the duty of the electoral board of each city and county to appoint the judges and clerks of elections, who are to hold all elections to be held in their respective precincts for one year, beginning on the 1st day of June following appointment; but the statute does not inhibit the appointment of the same person from year to year.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 5 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 10, 11.]

Petition for a writ of mandamus. Judgment reversed.

JOHN P. PETTYJOHN & SONS *v.* BASHAM.

Sept. 17, 1919.

[100 S. E. 813.]

**1. Appeal and Error (§ 1011 (1)\*)—Review of Finding of Court after Disagreement of Jury.**—Finding by the court to which the cause was submitted after disagreement of the jury, being supported by evidence, is unassailable on appeal.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 622.]

**2. Appeal and Error (§ 1008 (2)\*)—Review of Findings by Court after Disagreement of Jury.**—Where the jury was discharged because of inability to agree, and questions of fact submitted to trial court, the decision of the trial judge is not entitled to the same weight as if case had been submitted without intervention of jury.

**3. Negligence (§ 32 (1)\*)—Duty to Licensees Defined.**—An occupant of land is charged with knowledge of the use of his premises by a licensee, and while not chargeable with the duty of prevision or preparation for the safety of the licensee, he is chargeable with the duty of lookout.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 367.]

**4. Negligence (§ 32 (1)\*)—Duty to Invitees Defined.**—An occupant of land owes to an invitee, to the extent of the invitation, the duty of prevision, preparation, and lookout, and must use ordinary care to see that his premises are in a reasonably safe condition.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 370.]

**5. Negligence (§ 32 (2)\*)—When Invitation and License Will Be Inferred.**—Usually an invitation will be inferred where the visit is of common interest or mutual advantage to the parties, while a li-

---

\*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.